

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		CON 1790	6808	
09/673,395	12/27/2000	Thomas Specht	SCH-1780	0000	
7:	590 05/14/2002				
Millen White Zelano & Branigan			EXAMINER		
Suite 1400 2200 Clarendon Boulevard			GOLDBERG, JE	EANINE ANNE	
Arlington, VA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1634	A	
			DATE MAILED: 05/14/2002	2 (

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	•	09/673,395	SPECHT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jeanine A Goldberg	1634			
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
Period for	r Reply					
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLANLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.5 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be sly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the samplication to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	<u>/16/01; 9/14/01</u> .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde ion of Claims	vance except for formal matters, r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11	prosecution as to the merits is , 453 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
l	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	tion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	on See 37 CFR 1.00(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disar	oproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		10(a) (d) or (f)			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14)	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
	a) The translation of the foreign languageAcknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application has been	n received.			
Attachm						
1) No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-19, 33-38, drawn to nucleic acids.

Group II, claim(s) 20-22, drawn to antibodies.

Group III, claim(s) 23-32, drawn to polypeptides.

Restriction Requirement Applicable to All Groups:

2. Each sequence is different because they are unrelated sequences, i.e. these sequences are unrelated because the protein encoded by these sequences differ in structure and in function and in biological activity. For an elected Group drawn to amino acid sequences, the Applicants must further elect a single amino acid sequence. For an elected Group drawn to nucleotide sequences, the Applicants are permitted to elect a single nucleic acid sequences.

Nucleotide sequences encoding different proteins are structurally distinct chemical compounds and are unrelated to one another. These sequences are thus

Art Unit: 1634

deemed to normally constitute independent and distinct inventions. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such nucleotide sequences are presumed to represent an independent and distinct invention, subject to a restriction requirement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 121 and 37 CFR 1.141 et seq.

Applicant is required to select one of the individual sequences for examination. The search of the selected sequence may include the complements of the selected sequences and, where appropriate, may include subsequences within the selected sequences (e.g., oligomeric probes and/or primers).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the nucleic acids share a special technical feature, applicant should submit evident or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variant or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other inventions.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons. The claims are drawn to nucleic acids, antibodies and polypeptides of SEQ ID NO: 1-126, 531-552, 554-555 which do not share a common structure as required by AI, Annex B. Each of SEQ ID NO: 1-126, 531-552 and 554-555 lack any common structure.

Art Unit: 1634

Furthermore the three products are not the same. The inventions do not share the same general concept, each from the other because of the following reasons: The inventions of Groups I, II, III, are not the same because they are drawn to different products having different structures and functions. The nucleic acid of Group I is composed of nucleotides linked in phospodiester bonds and arranged in space as a double helix. The polypeptide of Group III is composed of amino acids linked in peptide bonds and arranged spatially in a number of different tertiary structures including alpha helices, beta-pleated sheets, and hydrophobic loops (transmembrane domain). The antibody of Group II is also composed of amino acids linked in peptide bonds and arranged spatially in a very specific tertiary structure that allows that antibody to specifically bind to particular regions, i.e. epitopes, of the encoded polypeptide. Further, antibodies are glycosylated and their tertiary structure is unique, where four subunits (2 light chains and 2 heavy chains) associated via disulfide bonds into a Y-shaped symmetric dimer. Furthermore, the products of Groups I, II, III, can be used in materially different processes, for example, the DNA of Group I can be used in hybridization assays, the antibody of Group II can be used in immunoassay, the polypeptide of Group III can be used to make fusion protein with an enzymatic function. Consequently, the reagents, reaction conditions, and reaction parameters required to make or use each invention are different. Therefore, the inventions of Groups I, II, III, are not linked by a single inventive concept. Furthermore, as provided by Annex B (e), the three products do not belong to one of the prescribed categories of inventions permitted.

Art Unit: 1634

A telephone call was made to Jennifer Branigan on April 26, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

1. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Jeanine Goldberg whose telephone number is (703) 306-5817. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152. The fax number for this Group is (703) 305- 3014.

Any inquiry of formal matters can be directed to the patent analyst, Pauline Farrier, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3550.

Any inquiry of a general nature should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Jeanine Goldberg May 10, 2002

> Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600